PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 2 4 OCT 2005 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 24.11.2003 24.11.2004 PCT/EP2004/013339 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61F2/06 Applicant ANGIOMED GMBH & CO. MEDIZINTECHNIK KG This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Smith, C

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4018



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/013339

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	With regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in he language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type of material:				
	□ a sequence listing				
	□ table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	o. format of material:				
	☐ in written format				
	☐ in computer readable form				
	c. time of filing/furnishing:				
	□ contained in the international application as filed.				
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	□ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	4. Additional comments:				

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/013339

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	1 the entire international application,			
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 19,21			
because:				
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 19,21			
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex. C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
	the written form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	☐ See separate sheet for further details			

International application No. PCT/EP2004/013339

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-18,20

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

3,4,6-18

No: Claims

1,2,5,20

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18,20

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item III.

Rule 39.1(iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery

#### Re Item V.

Reference is made to the following document:
 D1: US 2003/109886 A1 (KEEGAN ET AL) 12 June 2003 (2003-06-12)

### 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and discloses (see the whole document) all the features of claim 1.

However, the subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known catheter in that: the first shaft member is a pull wire and the second shaft member is a pusher tube.

This is a mere juxtaposition of technical features, hence claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 5, 20
Dependent claims 2, 5, 20 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/013339

#### Re Item VII.

- 1. Independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 2. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 3. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.